### Issue 568 55th SIG meeting

**Proposal for updates:**

1. Definition for “query containment”: three alternatives
	1. Modify the definition in order to yield for grammatical sentences if the term is to be substituted by its definition
	2. Integrate definition for “query containment” in “query”
	3. Remove the entry/definition altogether.
2. Images do not adhere to format requirements: alternatives
	1. Removing images from the ISO version
	2. Removing text from the diagrams
	3. Changing the size of the diagrams to allow text therein be easier to read
* Whether the remaining editorial suggestions that will be implemented for the ISO version (listed below) should find their way into subsequent community versions.
	1. Specifying the clause number when referring to other sections, eg. "see section About Types below" → "see section About Types (8.3.1) below"
	2. Formatting ordered lists
		1. The default order for a numbered list is: a), b), c) | 1), 2), 3) | i), ii), iii)
		2. Avoid having more than one numbered list in a clause/subclause
	3. **Adding additional numbered sections**
		1. To break up the long clauses
		2. To remove the existence of hanging paragraphs
	4. **Change phrases like "In the following..." to have explicit references**
	5. **Review use of “must”, “may”, “can”, “should”, and “shall” against ISO’s instructions on the use of these terms**
	6. Use quotes instead of italics to emphasize terms
	7. **Refer to bibliography [number] instead of (Author, Date) for in-text citations**

**Discussion points**:

* Definition for “query containment”: It only occurs 4 times in the text.
	+ 2 instances in the definition of the term,
	+ 1 instance in the definition of “semantic interoperability”,
	+ 1 instance in the “Extensions of CIDOC CRM” section, where it is defined in a manner that is consistent with the ISO format requirements.

**Proposal to deprecate the term from the terminology list**.

* Images do not adhere to format requirements: SIG unwilling to deprecate figures, deprecate text from figures & move it to illegible captions. In favor of having figures (+captions) cover full pages. **Proposal: Their orientation could be shifted to portrait (rotated by 90°) to ensure legibility.**
* Whether the remaining editorial suggestions that will be implemented for the ISO version (listed below) should find their way into subsequent community versions.
	1. Point **(3)** above: a welcome improvement
	2. Point **(7)** above: not happening, this is in line with the community’s practices.
	3. Point **(4)** above: to be examined in a case-by-case basis. If it refers to a specific numbered section, then it’s OK to replace phrases like “in the following” with the numbered section. If it’s just interpreted as pointing to the next paragraph, then it should be considered as an organizational feature of the text and should be left as is.
	4. Point **(5)** above: need to be given explicit guidelines against which to check the use of modal verbs –EC to circulate the document through the listserv.
	5. No concern was voiced regarding points (1), (2) and (6).